

# 1999 PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
Yes	43	99-F102	Health Monitoring of Hatchery & Natural Fall-run Chinook in SJ River	US Fish & Wildlife Service, California - Nevada Health Center	Merced, San Joaquin	\$37,860	\$37,860	Suggest that a subject of samples be tested for ag chemicals. Seems cost-effective.
Yes	43	99-F103	Central Valley Steelhead Genetic Evaluation	CA Dept of Fish & Game, WRB	Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Glenn, etc	\$70,636	\$70,636	Addresses an important issue. Could be useful information.
Yes	41.5	99-B161	Riparian Corridor Acquisition and Restoration Assessment	US Bureau of Land Management	Shasta, Tehama	\$2,175,000	\$2,175,000	Subject to match from TPL.
Yes	41	99-E116	Purple Loosestrife Prevention, Detection & Control Actions for the Sac/SJ River Delta System	CA Dept of Food & Ag, Integrated Pest Control Branch	Butte, Contra Costa, Fresno, Nevada, ect	\$328,779	\$127,473	Good project; aimed at early eradication
Yes	41	99-D119	Determination of the Causes of Dissolved Oxygen Depletion in the SJ River	CA Dept of Water Resources, Environmental Services Offices	San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced	\$866,408	\$866,408	
Yes	39.25	99-B156	South Napa River Tidal Sough and Floodplain Restoration Project	City of American Canyon	Napa	\$1,520,000	\$1,520,000	Good project adjacent to numerous other ongoing actions.
Yes	39	99-B131	YUBA TOOLS: Collaborative Watershed Mgmt for Flood Control	Yuba Watershed Council & SYRCL	Yuba, Nevada, Sierra	\$216,150	\$216,150	Important spring run stream proposal well put together and cost effective.
Yes	38	99-G100	Estuary Action Challenge Environmental Education Project	Earth Island Institute/Estuary Action Challenge	Alameda, Contra Costa	\$50,000	\$50,000	Well thought out proposal. Part of an ongoing school program that appears to be well organized and highly supported locally with strong emphasis on urban creeks.
Yes	38	99-A117	Improve the Upstream Ladder & Barrier Weir @ Coleman Nat'l Fish Hatch. in Battle Creek	US Fish & Wildlife Service	Shasta, Tehama	\$1,663,400	\$1,663,400	High priority for funding.
Yes	38	99-B127	Reintroduction of Endangered Soft Bird's Beak to Restored Habitat - Suisun	University of California at Davis, Dept of Environmental Science & Policy, Wetland Research Lab	Solano, Napa, Contra Costa	\$148,627	\$148,627	Well written research proposal with good benefits to primary species.
Yes	38	99-F106	Development of a Comprehensive Imple. Plan for a Statiscally Designed Marking & Recovery	CA Dept of Fish & Game	Shasta, Butte, Sacramento, etc	\$75,951	\$75,951	Include Dave Hankin & Ken Newman. Ensure broad public involvement. DFG is the entity to do this work.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
Yes	38	99-E104	Assessing Ecological & Economic Impacts of the Chinese Mitten crab	UC Berkeley	Santa Clara, Sonoma	\$149,429	\$149,429	A research project hurt by scoring criteria. Nice link to E100. Good proposal.
Yes	37	99-A109	Fish Treadmill Developed Fish Screen Criteria for Native Sacramento-San Joaquin Watershed Fishes	Wildlife, Fish, & Conservation Biology, UC Davis	Yolo	\$1,036,821	\$1,036,821	Recommend that funding be given to high priority species only.
Yes	37	99-B130	Development of an Implementation Plan for Lower Yuba River Anadromous Fish Habitat Restoration	Surface Water Resources, Inc	Yuba, Nevada	\$171,100	\$171,100	Scoring criteria penalizes studies, but they are needed.
Yes	36	99-B190	Linked Hydrogeomorphic Ecosystem Models to Support Adaptive Mgmt Cosumnes-Mokelumne Paired Basin	University of California, Davis Center for Integrated Watershed Science & Mgmt	Sacramento, San Joaquin, El Dorado, Amador	\$1,946,016	\$1,546,016	Ensure continuous CMARP review/coord. Fund parts: geomorph, hydro, water quality. Overall, confusing. Too many things identified to do.
Yes	36	99-G103	Water Challenge 2010 Exhibit	US Army Corps of Eng, San Francisco Bay Model Visitor Center	All	\$50,500	\$50,500	Excellent proposal. One of the best in bio/eco benefits, reaches an incredible number of people throughout the state.
Yes	36	99-A105	Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority	Tehama	\$2,574,000	\$1,000,000	
Yes	36	99-D123	Dissolved Organic Carbon Release from Delta Wetlands, Part 1	US Geological Survey	Yolo, Solano, Contra Costa, San Joaquin, Sac	\$1,392,669	\$1,392,669	
Yes	35	99-D113	Chronic Toxicity of Environmental Contaminants in Sacramento Splittail: A Biomarker Approach	UC Davis, Dept of Animal Science	Yolo	\$673,684	\$673,684	Some discomfort in identifying chemicals to target for toxicity (shotgun approach?) Perhaps selenium and mercury not the type of chemicals to monitor as they become bioaccumulative and splittail are at bottom of the food chain.
Yes	35	99-D116	Assessment of Pesticide Effects on Fish & Their Food Resources in the Sac-SJ Delta	UC Berkeley	Contra Costa, Solano, Sac, SJ, Merced, Yolo	\$1,875,561	\$1,875,561	
Yes	35	99-G104	The Learning Watershed Project	American River Watershed Institute	Placer, Sacramento, Tehama	\$58,250	\$55,250	
Yes	34	99-E101	An Evaluation of the Potential Impacts of the Chinese Mitten crab on the Benthic Comm. in the Delta	CA Dept of Water Resources	Solano, Sacramento, Contra Costa, San Joaquin	\$147,799	\$147,799	Criteria provided for 'Ecological Benefit' hurt needed NIS research proposals.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
Yes	34	99-C118	Biological Ag Systems in Cotton-BASIC-Reducing Synthetic Pesticides & Fertilizers in the No. SJ Vly	Sustainable Cotton Project (SCP)	Merced, Madera, Fresno	\$1,388,784	\$460,000	
Yes	34	99-B124	Lake Red Bluff Riparian Area Restoration & Education Support Project	The California Conservation Corps	Tehama	\$29,114	\$29,114	More educational than restoration.
Yes	34	99-G119	Watershed Educational Training	Colusa County Resource Conservaton District	Colusa	\$13,000	\$13,000	For the small amount of funds requested & high level of local support - this might be a worthy project.
Yes	33	99-G117	1999/2000 Bay-Delta Education Program	Water Education Foundation	All	\$122,500	\$32,300	Fund Task 1 (Briefing Paper) & Task 4 (Journalists Tour) only (\$32,300). Do not recommend funding Task 2 or 3. Ambivalent about funding the update of the CALFED video (\$21,300)
Yes	33	99-B146	Species and Community Profiles of the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project	Friends of the San Francisco Estuary	Alameda	\$44,000	\$44,000	
Yes	33	99-B169	Understanding Tidal Marsh Restoration Processes and Patterns	University of New Orleans	Intertidal Bay-Delta	\$1,042,246	\$1,042,246	Good research but costly for study only.
Yes	33	99-G106	Traveling Film Festival & Exhibit/McCormack-Williamson Restoration Film	Independent Documentary Group (IDG Films)	Sacramento & Bay Area counties	\$339,150	\$50,000	Recommend funding most of Task 1 (suggest \$50,000 with IDG finding sponsors for the bal of \$21,000). Very valuable way for message of CALFED and Bay-Delta to reach a very large audience in the Bay area.
Yes	32	99-B106	East Delta Habitat Corridor (Georgianna Slough)	Habitat Assessment & Restoration Team, Inc.	Sacramento	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	Suggest finding some cost share. (None identified in proposal)
Yes	32	99-C121	Douglas/Long Canyon Paired - Watershed Project	Placer County Water Agency PCWA	Placer	\$83,600	\$83,600	No direct connection, research proposal to CALFED priority species.
Yes	31	99-G107	River Studies Center Exhibits & Programs	San Joaquin River Parkway & Conservation Trust	Fresno, Madera	\$110,895	\$68,415	Only recommend Tasks 1,2, & 5 be funded (\$68,415). Tasks 3 & 4 should be resubmitted after more details are worked out. This is the only Ed project to serve San Joaquin. Good multi-dimensional project with good links to CALFED objectives.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
Yes	30	99-B158	Sacramento River Discovery Center	Sacramento River Discovery Center	Tehama, Butte, Placer, Glenn, etc	\$174,150	\$38,400	Panel recommends funding Task 2 only (38,400), Would not recommend Tasks or 3 to be funded.
Yes	29	99-E103	Effects of Introduced Species of Zooplankton & Clams on the B-D Food Web	San Francisco State University: Romberg Tiburon Center	N/A	\$826,930	\$726,930	Criteria provided for 'Ecological Benefit hurt needed NIS research projects.
No	44	99-B165a	Liberty Island Acquisition and Restoration-Phase I	US Fish & Wildlife Service	Yolo, Solano	\$1,146,717		Support continued acquisition of 2 Liberty Island parcels and development of restoration plan. Overall, too much \$\$ Other parcels aren't related to Liberty Island
No	41	99-B189	Inundation of a Section of the Yolo Bypass to Restore Sac. Splittail & Other Native Species	Natural Heritage Institute	Yolo, Solano	\$820,679		Potential high benefit for priority species. Well put together proposal.
No	40	99-B155	Napa Salt Pond Restoration/Water Supply Project	Napa Sanitation District	Napa	\$355,000		Innovative, long-term project to address an important issue though results unclear until action undertaken.
No	40	99-B111	Tuolumne River Special Run Pool 10 Restoration	Turlock Irrigation District	Stanislaus	\$2,179,000		Contingent upon AFRP cost share. Use what is learned from SRP 9 & other projects. How do all the gravel projects fit together? Work at overall restoration & gravel restoration issues.
No	40	99-B120	Tuolumne River Mining Reach Project 3 - Warner Deardorff Segment	Turlock Irrigation District	Stanislaus	\$3,501,000		Contingent upon AFRP funding. Recommend continued peer review & dissemination of lessons learned. Money requested in October.
No	40	99-F105	Biological Assess. of Green Sturgeon in the Sac/SJ Watershed	UC Davis, Wildlife, Fish & Conservation Biology	Sutter, Yolo	\$205,013		Continued work supported. Suggest age chemical analysis on reproductive material.
No	39	99-C100	Last Chance Creek Project - Ferris - Meadowview Reach	Feather River Coordinated Resource Management - Plumas Corp	Plumas	\$980,000		Good proposal though not directly related to CALFED priority species.
No	39	99-C132	Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship, Phase 2	Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy	Shasta, Tehama	\$292,662		Well written proposal. Logical next step for important area with lots of ongoing activity.
No	39	99-E107	Stone Lakes Water Hyacinth Control	Florin Resource Conservation District Economic Development Corporation	Sacramento	\$382,559		
No	39	99-E108	Tamarisk & Arundo on Cache Creek: Removal and Revegetation	Cache Creek Conservancy	Yolo	\$968,700		

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	Project Title	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	39	99-B165b	Liberty Island, etc, Phase 2	US Fish & Wildlife Service	Yolo, Solano	\$13,495,605		
No	39	99-B121	South Napa River Acquisition and Restoration Program	Napa County Land Trust	Napa	\$2,970,000		Supportive of proposal. Continuation of work in area.
No	39	99-B144	A Unique Opportunity for Restoration, Research and Education	The Delta Science Center at Big Break	Contra Costa	\$536,313		An important area with good tie-in for overall benefits. Good cost sharing.
No	39	99-E118	Arundo donax Eradication and Coordination	Sonoma Ecology Center	All	\$818,045		
No	38.9	99-B137	Battle Creek Riparian Habitat Protection	The Nature Conservancy	Tehama, Shasta	\$2,820,000		
No	38.64	99-B174	Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge Acquisitions	US Fish & Wildlife Service	Sacramento, Yolo	\$5,065,030		Important area, support continued acquisition.
No	38	99-B135	Lower Clear Creek Floodway Restoration Proposal Solicitation	Western Shasta Resource Conservaton District	Sacramento River	\$4,901,553		
No	38	99-A116	Pleasant Grove-Verona Mutual Water Company Fish Screen	Pleasant Grove-Verona Mutual Water Company	Sutter	\$331,000		
No	38	99-C129	Development of a Watershed Management Strategy for Little Chico Creek	CSU, Chico Research foundation - Office of Sponsored Programs	Butte, Glenn	\$293,473		Important but not critical to CALFED goals. Do have existing conditions report logical next step.
No	37.2	99-B126	Floodplain Acquisition and Sub-Reach/Site-Specific Mgmt Planning on Sacramento River	The Nature Conservancy	Glenn, Butte, Tehama	\$13,964,900		
No	37	99-C101	Lassen National Forest Watershed Stewardship Anadromous Watersheds of Antelope, Battle, etc	USDA, Forest Service, Lassen National Forest	Butte, Plumas, Shasta, Tehama	\$3,017,695		Recommend not funding USFS project mgmt cost - they should provide as in-kind cost share. Good project in general. Some question on sediment as limiting factor.
No	37	99-A110	City of Redding Water Utility Fish Screen Rehabilitation	City of Redding, Department of Public Works	Shasta	\$495,400		Very high priority fish screen area - prime spawning for steelhead and chinook salmon.
No	37	99-E111	Introduced Spartina Eradication Program	California Coastal Conservancy, San Francisco Bay Program	Sac, Sol, CC, Santa Clara, etc	\$2,914,300		Project should be funded when the proponents provide more detail on control. One of the most important topics in invasive species.
No	37	99-E114	Biological Control of Saltcedar & Giant Reed in the Cache Creek Drainage	USDA - Agricultural Research Service	Yolo	\$1,042,885		

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	36	99-C122	Marsh Creek Watershed Science Program	The Delta Science Center at Big Break	Contra Costa	\$163,474		This project has a complimentary habitat restoratoion proposal. ( 99-B144)
No	36	99-B145	Culture of Delta Smelt, Hypomesus transpacificus, in Support of Environ. Studies & Restoration	UC Davis, Animal Science Dept, Meye Hall	USA	\$431,606		Costs seem reasonable, worthwhile continuation of work.
No	36	99-C138	Colusa Basin Watershed Project	Colusa County RCD, Colusa Basin Drainage District		\$492,500		Strong proposal with lcoal leadership addressing important problem.
No	35.5	99-B157	Development of a River Corridor Management Plan for the Lower American River	Sacramento City-County Office of Metropolitan Water Planning Forum	Sacramento	\$250,000		Agreed this is needed. Seemed costly. Suggest they hold public meetings.
No	35.5	99-B151	Habitat Restoration and Natural Processes: Integrating Riparian Restoration with Flood Plan Mmgt	Sacramento River Partners	Butte, Glenn	\$2,153,574		
No	35	99-C104	Conservation Easements for Agricultural Lands	Ducks Unlimited, Inc., Western Regional Office	Sutter	\$3,120,000		Supportive of proposal, but felt it was Hab Rest. and scored as such.
No	35	99-B184	Arundo donax Control on Burch Creek: Nonnative Invasive Species Eradication.	CA Dept of Water Resouces, Northern District	Tehama	\$39,000		
No	35	99-D100	Real Time Water Quality Management	Grassland Water District	Merced	\$652,330		
No	35	99-A115	Butte Creek/Sanborn Slough Bifurcation Project	California Waterfowl Association, Rob Capriola	Colusa, Butte	\$960,000		Is there a federal cost-sharing limitation that would effect the funding?
No	35	99-A106	Banta-Carbona Irrig. District Positive Barrier Fish Screen	Banta-Carbona Irrigation District	San Joaquin County	\$1,694,375		Cat III funds, currently federal, may not be received by project because project budget has exceeded federal cost-sharing under CVPIA program.
No	34.64	99-B193	McCormick-Williamson Tract Restoration Planning, Design, & Monitoring Program 1	University of California, Davis Center for Integrated Watershed, Science and Mgmt	Sacramento	\$556,200		B192/B193 should have been one proposal.
No	34.5	99-B154	North Fork Weber Creek Acquisition and Habitat Restoration	American River Conservancy	El Dorado	\$1,150,000		High potential benefit to red-legged frogs. No conection with salmon or steelhead.
No	34	99-C105	Panoche/Silver Creek Watershed Management and Action Plan	Westside Resource Conservation District	Fresno, San Benito	\$848,000		High ecological benefits. Very strong local involvement. Need clarification or how and what modeling will be done.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	34	99-A113	Tracy Fish Facilities, Technology Development to Meet Modern Fish Protection Criteria	Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region	Contra Costa, San Joaquin, Alameda	\$5,700,000		If this goes forward, it must be cooperative. The establishment of cooperation and collaboration trends is a good development that should be sustained.
No	34	99-A101	Sacramento River Small Diversion Fish Screen Mech. Mointoring & Maint. Project	Family Water Alliance	Colusa	\$312,700		
No	34	99-A111	Development of an Optimal Design for Reducing Predation on Delta Smelt at a Large Fish Screen	M. Levent Kavvas, University of California, Davis, UCD Civil Engineer	Yolo	\$788,225		Contingent on more coordination with Resources Agency.
No	34	99-B102	Tuolumne River Bobcat Flat Floodplain Acquisition	Friends of the Tuolumne, Inc.	Stanislaus	\$1,641,941		Good opportunity on important parcel. Ensure BLM will manage.
No	34	99-B170	Lower Ranch Wetland Restoration Project	Sonoma Land Trust	not listed	\$1,095,648		Design phase - moderate benefit, sugges linking up with other projects.
No	34	99-B149	Northwestern Suisun Marsh Habitat Restoration Project	Calif Dept of Water Resources, Environmental Services Office	Solano	\$500,000		A lot of habitat though its unclear what they are buying.
No	34	99-A102	Wildcat Creek Floodplain, Channel and Fisheries Restoraiton	J. Michael Walford, Pub Works Dir & Chief Eng.	Contra Costa County	\$440,000		None
No	34	99-A108	Lower Mokelumne River Restoration Program	Woodbridge Irrigaiton District and City of Lodi	San Joaquin	\$11,916,000		Very complete documentation. Proposa is broader than fish screens/fish passage.
No	34	99-B172	Holland Land Levee Protection & Habitat Restoration Project	Reclamation District No. 999	Solano	\$295,000		Integrating habitat is much better than rip rap, but small scale project.
No	34	99-C120	Continuation of the Lower Mokelumne River Watershed Stewardship Program	San Joaquin Resource Conservation District	San Joaquin, Sacramento	\$654,000		Strongly recommend for funding.
No	34	99-D117	Implementation of Management Practices that Prevent Offsite Movement of Chlorpyrifos	CA Dept of Pesticide Regulation	Stanislaus, San Joaquin, Merced	\$690,466		Essential that Task 1 (Task Force) be expanded to include RCDs, CAFF, as well as Water Board or Delta Keeper representative and UC Davis alfalfa experts throughout the solution area Well written, but focused in the wrong spot, more focus on registrants.
No	33	99-C106	Identificaiton & Characterization of Aquatic Habitat & Water Quality Factors Affecting Priority ...	CA Dept of Water Resources, Northern District	Butte, Sutter	\$297,296		None.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	33	99-B153	Merced River Corridor Restoration Project Phase III	Stillwater Sciences	Merced	\$229,000		Well laid out. Support to continue funding the consensus building approach
No	33	99-D104	Effects of Fires & Sediment Processes in Sierra Nevada Forested Watersheds	USGS/BRD/WERC Sequoia and Kings Canyon Field Station	Tulare	\$390,752		This is a strong proposal, but outside of the CALFED proposal geographic area. Data being collected (methodology) could be applicable in CALFED area.
No	33	99-C116	A Clear Creek Prescription	Western Shasta Resource Conservation District (WSRCD)	Shasta	\$322,960		Most of work above Whiskeytown which has less connection to CALFED priorities.
No	33	99-D120	Effects of Contam in the Catchment of the SFB Estuary on Redpro Success of Adult Health	Applied Marine Sciences, Inc.	Multiple	\$745,726		
No	32.5	99-B148	Cosumnes River Floodplain Acquisition, Management & Monitoring	The Nature Conservancy	Sacramento	\$7,317,200		Good land with floodplain and upland aspects.
No	32	99-B188	Butte Creek Watershed Education Project	CSU Chico Research Foundation	Butte	\$141,512		For limited funds recommend funding Tasks 1,5,6,8, and 9. (\$85,000) for second tier, add Tasks 2 & 4. Do not recommend funds 3 or 7 be funded. Effective way to train teachers & students. Not clear on how task 3 ties in with 'Adopt-a-watershed' program.
No	32	99-A103	Biological Evaluation of Suisun Marsh Diversions	CA Dept. of Fish & Game	Solano	\$464,000		
No	32	99-C140	Sonoma Creek Watershed Conservancy	Southern Sonoma County Resource Conservation District	Sonoma	\$702,633		Could be partially funded. Good community based project.
No	32	99-D130	Getting B-D Solutions on the Ground & Online: An Ag Comm. Delivery System to Revitalize Our Water	Yolo County RCD/Calif Assoc of RCDs/DWR co-sponsors	seven counties	\$2,947,676		Do not fund counties not in the geographic area - Of 8 counties, 4 are not in the geographic area and the geographic doesn't appear consistent within the document. Encourage them to reapply.
No	32	99-D122	Protecting Water Quality in The Sac/SJ River Watershed Through Biological Farming Outreach & Ed.	Community Alliance with Family Farmers (CAFF)	Fresno, Madera, Merced, SJ, Sol, Stanislaus, Yolo	\$1,614,270		Contingent on funds be spent in the geographic area. (Fresno is not in the geographic area). Must get contiguous farms to participate together. Potentially scale back and couple w/monitoring effort to verify effectiveness before funding further.



Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	32	99-B191	Geomorphic Model for Demonstration and Feasibility Assessment of Set-back Levees B-D River systems	University of California, Davis, Dept of Geology	not specific	\$104,458		Include sample reaches, application to current opportunities.
No	32	99-A104	RD 2035 Sac River Positive Barrier Fish Screen Design & Environ. Review	Reclamation District 2035	Yolo	\$1,200,000		In general, a fish screen package should pay for fish screens, not ancillary things unless they're essential for working of the fish screens.
No	32	99-C112	Butte County Water & Natural Resource Coordination	County of Butte	Butte	\$277,107		Could be valuable, but really should encourage cost share.
No	31	99-A112	Hydraulic Testing Facility for Fish Screens at Small Diversions in the Delta	M. Levent Kavvas, Dept of Civil & Environmental Engineering, UC Davis	Yolo	\$558,394		Study needs direction, especially mechanical areas. Recommend they be directed by Technical Advisory Committee made of Agency staff.
No	31	99-A119	Tuttle Pump Relocation Project	Maxwell Irrigation District	Colusa	\$427,900		Would be more attractive if a local cost share included.
No	31	99-C130	Big Chico Creek & Little Chico Watershed Support Project	CSU, Chico Research Foundation -Office of Sponsored Programs	Butte, Glenn, Tehama	\$267,326		Coordination is important. Proposal was unclear in budget breakdown.
No	31	99-D115	A New Approach to Assess the Effect of Ecosystem Restoration Efforts on Contaminant Bioavailability	UC Berkeley, The Regents of the University of California	Madera, Contra Costa, Solano, SJ, Yolo	\$711,773		Recommend funding Task 1 @ \$100,000 if stands alone. Comprehensive approach should be used. Let the technical mercury assess results and make request for further direction. Potentially partially fund to flesh out the effort.
No	31	99-B113	Hill Slough West Habitat Restoration Demonstration Project	Calif Dept of Fish & Game	Solano	\$65,000		Support ongoing work. Ties in well with bigger picture.
No	31	99-B195	Ball Ranch Habitat Restoration Area Acquisition	San Joaquin River Conservancy	Fresno, Madera	\$7,000,000		Support partial funding for highest priority parcel (applicant to advise). Linkage to restoration unclear.
No	31	99-B159	Implementation of Riparian Corridor Management along the Woodson Bridge Subreach of the Sac River	CA Dept of Water Resources, Northern District	Tehama	\$687,000		
No	31	99-C114	Yuba Watershed Council: A Collaborative Approach	Yuba Watershed Council, Nevada City Resource Conservation District	Nevada, Sierra, Yuba, Placer	\$142,618		Important area to have a coordinator.
No	31	99-A114	Colusa Basin Drain Adult Salmonid Barrier Project	Surface Water Resources, Inc.	Yolo	\$577,500		

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	Project Title	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	31	99-B185	Monitoring Tidal Wetland Rehabilitations in the North Bay Region of the San Francisco Bay & Delta	US Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division	Napa, Solano, Sonoma	\$689,000		Monitoring. Suggest tie in with other ongoing projects.
No	31	99-B112	Brickyard Creek Tributary Riparian Restoration and Outdoor Classroom Project	The California Conservation Corps	Tehama	\$104,453		More educational in focus.
No	31	99-C115	Upper Trinity River Watershed Stewardship Project	Trinity County Resource Conservaiton District	Trinity	\$150,000		Good project. Unclear connection to CALFED priorities, not enough \$\$ to implement correctly.
No	31	99-D102	Adap. Mgmt Strat. for Reservoir of Ag Drainage Discharge: Mitigating Selenium Ecotoxic Risk	UC Davis, Dept of Land, Air & Water Resouces	Yolo, Merced, Fresno	\$749,386		
No	31	99-C109	Napa River Watershed Stewardship Year 2	Napa County Resource Conservation District	Napa	\$191,100		Support continuaiton of work. Implementation of Owners Manual.
No	31	99-C133	Developing a Biological & Genetic Mgmt Plan for Chinook Salmon in the No. Sac Vly & Butte Basin Eco	Kier Associates	Shasta, Tehama	\$482,289		Fund Tasks 2,3,4, after appropriate peer review. Conduct some type of workshop to address mgmt issues that need to be dealt with.
No	31	99-B114	Delta Meadows Nautral Communities Inventory and Habitat Restoration	California Dept of Parks & Recreation/Delta State Parks	Sacramento	\$696,000		Panel supports inventory to the extent it supports restoration for this project. The larger inventory could be cost shared and connected somewhere else.
No	30.75	99-B163	Lisbon District Levee & Habitat Protection Project	Reclamation District No. 307	Yolo	\$320,000		Action would be benficial in this area, though some issues still need to be resolved.
No	30	99-E102	Determining Substrate Requirements for Passive Interdiction, Population Control of C. Mitten crab	US Geological Survey/Davis Field Station	Yolo	\$286,829		Criteria provided for 'Ecological Benefit hurt needed NIS research proposals.
No	30	99-A120	Richter Brothers Anadromous Fish Screen Project	H & L Partnership	Sutter	\$950,000		Suggest that this be performed under guidance of the AFRP technical review team until the technology is proven. Would possibly be more attractive as a feasibility study to get a better handle on the technology.
No	30	99-B194	Tuolumne River Sediment Mgmt & Implementation Plan	Turlock Irrigation District/Tuolumne River Technical Advisory	Stanislaus	\$411,400		Partial funding - Task 1 & 2? Connect w/B133.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	30	99-D109	Reduction of Insecticides Loads in the San Joaquin Watershed	CA Dept of Pesticide Regulation	Stanislaus, San Joaquin, Merced	\$1,041,000		
No	30	99-D124	Dissolved Organic Carbon Release From Delta Wetlands: Pt 2	US Geological Survey, Placer Hall	Yolo, Solano, Contra Costa, SJ, Sac	\$2,740,040		
No	30	99-D107	Real-Time Forecasting of Contaminant Loading From the Panoche/Silver Creek Watershed to the SJ River	Lawrence National Laboratory, Berkeley,		\$628,378		
No	30	99-B139	Phase II: Demonstration Project for the Protection and Enhancement of Delta In-channel Islands	Association of Bay Area Government (ABAG)	not listed	\$3,138,670		Ongoing work on limited but useful habitat. Seems expensive.
No	30	99-B152	A Mechanistic Approach to Riparian Restoration in the San Joaquin Basin	Stillwater Sciences	Merced, Stanislaus	\$223,666		Coordinate w/San Joaquin Riparian Restoration Group. Potentially useful tool.
No	29.9	99-B125	Big Chico Creek Ecological Preserve	River Network	Butte	\$1,225,666		
No	29.63	99-B192	McCormack-Williamson Tract Restoration Planning, Design, & Monitoring Program II	CA Dept of Water Resources, Flood Protection Branch	Sacramento	\$355,000		This could be funded later.
No	29.25	99-B132	Seasonal Wetlands & Environmental Enhancement Project	Colusa Basin Drainage District	Yolo	\$3,550,000		
No	29	99-B150	River Park	Greater Vallejo Recreation District	Solano	\$1,000,000		Small scale project. Unclear how important it is to bigger picture.
No	29	99-B167	Restoration of Copper Creek and Newton Copper Mine	Geraldine Cassinelli	Amador	\$122,916		Isolated. Does not fit in with bigger CALFED picture. Biological impacts/benefits not identified. Recommend not funding.
No	29	99-B168	Venice Island Potato Slough Habitat Creation Demonstration Project	CA. Dept of Water Resources, Flood Protection & Geographic Information	San Joaquin	\$491,223		Innovative concept though costly for study only.
No	29	99-B109	Chippis Island Tidal Marsh Project	Fishery Foundation of California	Solano	\$968,810		Project has potential, but it was difficult to discern which option to select.
No	29	99-D118	Eval. of Cont. Effects of Priority Fish Food Chain Resources in the Sac-SJ River & B-D Estuary	San Francisco Estuary Institute	Contra Costa	\$2,495,770		

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	29	99-E115	Proposal to Conduct an Assess. of Delta Levee Impacts & Aquatic Habitat by C mitten crab	May Consulting Services	Sacramento, Solano, Contra Costa	\$87,415		
No	29	99-E112	Reprod. Life His. of C. Mitten crab, ID of Poss. Repro Disrupters to Reduce Ecol. Impact on Species	California State University of Fresno, Dept of Biology MS#SB73		\$1,095,708		Scoring criteria harms research project
No	29	99-D101	Rapid-Response Assessment of Selenium 'Fixation' Rate into the Foodchain	Dept of Land, Air & Water Resources, UC Davis	Yolo, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Fresno	\$115,029		Panel suggests that all closely related proposals regarding selenium be coordinated and go forward together (similar to previous efforts regarding mercury).
No	28.5	99-B123	Implementing the San Jose Riparian Restoration Action Plan	City of San Jose	Santa Clara	\$410,000		Planning project. Urban areas part of a bigger plan.
No	28	99-B105	Abandoned Mine Inventory, CALFED's Targeted Watersheds	Dept of Conservation/Office of Mine Reclamation/Abandoned Mine Land Unit	Shasta, Plumas, Lassen, Butte, Yuba, etc	\$2,194,523		Proposal is not responsive to PSP criteria.
No	28	99-D127	The Efficacy of Public Education Programs in Reducing Aquatic Toxicity From Stormwater Runoff	The San Francisco Baykeeper	San Joaquin	\$1,673,257		
No	28	99-E110	Determining the Biological, Physical & Chemical Characteristics of Ballast Wtr Arriving in SF Bay	San Francisco Estuary Institute	Contra Costa	\$375,905		Scoring criteria harms research project.
No	28	99-E113	Distribution & Status of Arundo donax in the Bay-Delta Watershed	UC Berkeley, Dept of Integrative Biology	Alameda	\$153,750		Scoring criteria hurts this project. Important information for control. Ask proposers to do project in one year.
No	28	99-G108	Estuary Supplements	Friends of the Estuary	All	\$108,710		With limited funding, would scale back and fund only half the project for fewer newsletter issues. Would provide a different media that would be good at reaching adults.
No	28	99-B122	San Joaquin River Riparian Habitat Restoration	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Suite 106	not listed	\$1,195,000		High priority area but still seemed to have some unresolved issues. Vague & expensive.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	28	99-G102	San Joaquin River Public Education Program	CA Dept of Water Resouces, San Joaquin District	All counties of SJ Vly	\$102,500		Suggest that CALFED provide a max. of 50% of the cost of the conference costs (\$46,250 which is minus DWR's admin of \$17,000). Well prepared proposal. Has a high cost for the number of people reached.
No	28	99-C134	American River (Middle & South Forks) Integrated Watershed Stewardship	Georgetown Divide Resource Conservation District	El Dorado	\$203,250		Disconnected to CALFED priority species.
No	28	99-B115	Franks Tract/Decker Island Wetlands Habitat Restoration	CA Dept of Water Resources	Contra Costa, Solano	\$16,600,580		Too expensive. Ecological details not well explained. Small acreage.
No	27	99-F100	Eval. of Hydroacoustics as a Mgmt Tool for Cen. Vly Salmon Producing Rivers & Streams	Merced Irrigation District	Merced	\$731,535		Concern with whether results could be realized in the time frame identified. Current work on hydroacoustics was not referenced.
No	27	99-B141	Dead Horse Island Levee Restoration Project, Sacramento County	Reclamation District #2111, Dead Horse Island	Sacramento	\$315,000		A bit unclear what they propose to do. BLM enhancement has some benefit.
No	27	99-B134	Spawning Gravel Introduction, Tuolumne River, La Grange Phase 2	CA Dept of Fish & Game	Stanislaus	\$376,421		Concern w/timing. Need sediment mgmt plan to inform this process. See results of Phase 1.
No	27	99-B133	Lower Gasburg Creek Sediment Control and Restoration	CA Dept of Fish & Game	Stanislaus	\$175,901		Suggest getting more local involvement. Primary source of sediment for creek. Need to link to other work.
No	27	99-E100	Assessment of Habitat Use, Trophic Status, Contaminants Distrib. of C. Mitten crab in Freshwater	US Geological Survey, Water Resources Division	Butte, Colusa, Calaveras, Contra Costa, Glenn, Fresno, Madera, Merced, Nevada, Placer, Sacramento, Solano, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Sutter, Yolo	\$343,083		Criteria provided for 'Ecological Benefit' doesn't allow a fair evaluation of NIS proposal
No	27	99-B110	East Antioch Creek Marsh Restoration Project	Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	Contra Costa	\$485,000		Isolated area - limited potential.
No	27	99-C136	Clear Lake Wetlands Restoration	Lake County Sanitation District	Lake	\$1,000,000		Under obligation to do work. Proposal not responsive to PSP.
No	27	99-D112	Impacts of Dietary Selenium on Giant Garter Snake Populations in Sacramento-San Joaquin Watershed	UC Davis, The Regents of the University of California	Merced	\$526,083		

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	27	99-A100	Recon, reconfig & relocation of DFG fish screen on the Cordua Irrig Dist & Hallwood Irrig Co div.	CA Dept of Fish & Game and Cordua Irrig & Hallwood Irrig Co.	Yuba	\$150,000		Some documentation missing.
No	27	99-B160	Developing an Integrated Model for River Restoration and Water Acquisition in the Central Valley	The Trust For Public Lands, Western Rivers Program	All Central Valley	\$294,362		Be combined w/B166 (SJ Model). Important issue but B160 or B166 works well on thier own. Could be useful. Presumes hydrologic regime can be altered.
No	27	99-B128	Proposal to Implement Decker Is. Tidal Wetland Enhancement Pilot Project	Surface Water Resources, Inc.	Solano	\$379,000		Contingent on purchase of the land. Good potential habitat. Land needs to b purchased. Should be integrated with DWR Work
No	26	99-D111	Using Ecological Health & Integrity Indicators to Eff. Monitor the Exposure & Effects	UC Davis, Dept of Land, Air & Water Resources	Delta region	\$200,391		
No	26	99-C108	Cottonwood Creek Watershed Monitoring and Assessment	Cottonwood Creek Watershed Group	Shasta, Tehama	\$935,000		Panel felt this was important work and should be considered. Strong support for funding ongoing work, potentially Task 1 & 2. Not well written. Suggest additional coordination w/local agencies
No	26	99-C126	Watershed Restoration & Implementation Strategy for Dry Creek	Nevada County Resource Conservation District	Nevada, Yuba	\$320,619		Start up project with an ambitious time-frame.
No	26	99-B119	Ecosystem Development at the Cosumnes River Preserve: Model Rstr. Exp. for the Central Vly	San Jose State University Foundation	not listed	\$492,597		Not well written overall. Unclear that results will provide benefits.
No	26	99-G110	Sacramento River Water Education Center	City of Sacramento	Sacramento	\$46,500		Suggests that the City find some partner and CALFED provide only part of the funding requested. Project has very broad outreach to lots of people.
No	26	99-C128	Upper Butte Creek Road Managment Improvement Project	CSU, Chico Research Foundation on behalf of the Butte Creek Watershed, Dept of Geography & Planning	Butte	\$209,476		Plan for fixing road. Did not see cost effective.
No	26	99-A118	Behavior of Anadromous Fishes at Passageways	University of California at Los Angeles	Sonoma, Sacramento	\$350,770		
No	26	99-C131	Northeastern Sacramento Valley Small Streams Mapping Project - Phase I	The Research Foundation, CSU Chico	Butte, Tehama, Shasta	\$80,263		Does not ID any products. Metadata collection only.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	26	99-D125	Improve DPR, Database	EMCON	CALFED B-D Watershed	\$204,753		Contingent on a Technical Advisory Group being formed and a timeline established. Recommend a coordinative effort with this company and DPR be launched. This effort needs to go forward so as not to impede progress of other research.
No	25	99-B108	Proposal to Create Saline Emergent Wetland at Mare Island	Tetra Tech Inc.	Solano	\$651,443		Development of habitat would benefit region. Cost seems high. Ensure an appropriate monitoring plan.
No	25	99-B136	Mokelumne Corridor: Acquisition, Management & Monitoring at Staten Island	The Nature Conservancy	San Joaquin	\$15,730,000		Valuable habitat, but lower priority in bigger picture. Concern w/casement durability over long-run.
No	25	99-B117	Phylogeographic & Microsatellite Study of West Coast Estuarine Restricted Fish	UC Los Angeles, Dept of Organistic Biology, Ecology and Evolution	All coastal counties	\$385,808		Does not address CALFED priority species, though sounds like a good project.
No	25	99-D108	DPR Pesticide Use Data on an Internet Site	CA Dept of Pesticide Regulation	Sacramento, Yolo	\$343,400		Make approval contingent on including: 'user' on the team. Also, could potentially fund for lower amount and upgrade as usefulness proven and user comments roll in. Potentially should be directed action because of importance.
No	25	99-B143	Loss of Mid-Channel Island Habitat in the Delta: Causes and Rates of Erosion	University of Southern California, Department of Geography	Sacramento, San Joaquin	\$456,781		
No	25	99-D103	Microbial Sensors for Slenium Hazard Assessment & Development of Site-Specific Selenium Objectives	University of California Berkeley	Merced	\$480,000		Panel suggests that all closely related proposals regarding selenium be coordinated and go forward together (similar to previous efforts regarding mercury).
No	25	99-C113	Phase I Feasibility Study of the Tracy Wetlands Stormwater Reuse Habitat	City of Tracy, Dept of Public Works	San Joaquin	\$149,580		
No	25	99-C139	Mokelumne & Cosumnes Rivers Coordination	San Joaquin Council of Governments	San Joaquin, Sacramento	\$217,480		Coordination may be needed but benefit are indirect.
No	25	99-B166	Focused Action to Dev. Eco. based Hydrologic Models & Water Mgmt Strategies in the S. J. basin	Natural Heritage Institute (NHI)	San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno	\$295,925		Should be combined w/B160. Good focus on San Joaquin. Presumes hydrologic regimes can be manipulated. Need clarification of how constraints would be dealt with.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	25	99-C124	Butte County Water Inventory/Needs Assessment	Butte County Water Division	Butte	\$770,000		No link to ecological benefits.
No	24	99-B101	Habitat Restoration/Floodway Enhancement Wilson Landing to Chico Creek	Glenn County	Glenn, Butte	\$750,000		
No	24	99-B103	Alhambra Creek Habitat Improvements	City of Martinez	Contra Costa	\$355,000		Stream restoration project in urbanized area. Biological benefits for species is not quantified.
No	24	99-C107	Expanding Community based Restoration and Stewardship in Four Watersheds	The Restoration Trust	Sonoma, Solano, Yolo	\$169,000		Not recommended for funding. Potential for long-term benefit low.
No	24	99-B116	Canal Ranch Habitat Restoration Project, Phase II	Calif. Dept of Fish & Game	San Joaquin	\$131,980		Planning effort in good area, but ecological benefits are marginal.
No	24	99-B173	Local Economic Impacts of Public Land Acquisition in the Sacramento River	The CSU, Chico Research Foundation-Office of Sponsored Programs	Glenn	\$63,029		Don't fund until Phase 1 is complete. Phase 1 is not acceptable - behind schedule- needs peer review. Project is needed, but must build on Phase 1
No	24	99-C135	Digital Soil Survey Mapping & Digital Orthophotoquad For Bay-Delta Region	USDA NRCS & the California Conservation Partnership	Shasta, Tehama, Glenn and others	\$1,612,040		Good project/idea, but not for CALFED funds.
No	24	99-G109	Bay-Delta Leadership Institute	Adopt-A-Watershed, Inc.	Butte, Tehama	\$203,200		Highly supportive of the Adopt-a-Watershed program, however, the cost is very high for the actual benefits. Panel would be more favorable if the costs were spread across more organizations
No	24	99-F104	Comprehensive Implementation Plan for Chinook Salmon	Bailey Environmental	multiple	\$152,400		Recommend fund F106 instead. Potential conflict of interest. Bailey would be evaluating his own work.
No	24	99-B142	Fluvio-Geomorphic Design Criteria for the Cottonwood Creek Watershed	Cotton, Shires and Associates, Inc.	Shasta, Tehama	\$69,300		No biological connection or clear connection with watershed group.
No	24	99-F101	Building Strong Leadership for Restoration: Skill Development & Restoration Education	University of Arizona, Society for Ecological Restoration, Dept EEB	All	\$87,203		Has the potential to have high benefits, but the project need to be much more tightly defined. The scope is too broad.
No	23	99-C127	Yuba River Watershed Assessment	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation	Nevada, Yuba	\$500,502		Concern with top down feel. Consultant to do work and turn over to locals. In general, work is needed in this area. (Yuba)



Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	23	99-B104	Dev of Prop & Re-intro Techniques for Delta Special Status Plant Species	Bitterroot Restoration, Inc.	Montana	\$114,700		Plant propagation project. Direct application to CALFED priority species was not apparent..
No	23	99-G115	Brentwood Marsh Habitat & Educational Center	City of Brentwood	Contra Costa	\$435,600		Not a strong link to env ed. Lots of benefits seem to be to the City and its sewage treatment facilities.
No	23	99-C123	Calaveras County Watershed Mgmt & Stewardship Program	Calaveras County Water District	Calaveras	\$700,000		Recommend not funding. County approach to watershed issue.
No	23	99-D129	Characterization of Quantity & Quality of Organic Carbon Loading & Transformation Assoc	CA Dept of Water Resources, Water Quality Assessment Branch, DPLA	Yolo, Sacramento	\$722,495		
No	22	99-G112	Wetlands Public Access Demonstration Project	Matterhorn California, Inc.	Napa	\$226,000		Doesn't have clear connection on how this program will educate on the bio/eco benefits.
No	22	99-B138	Modeling the influence of Restoration Scenarios on Channel & Flap Morphology in the Sacramento River basin	UC Santa Barbara -Donald Bren School of Environ. Science & Management - Office of Research,	Shasta Tehama, Glenn, Butte	\$408,409		Could be valuable research but not responsive to PSP. Should be linked w/UC Davis work. Need better link to habitat benefits.
No	22	99-G116	Environmental Education	EMCON	B-D Watershed	\$161,468		Seems to duplicate many existing products. Project like this should have cost share.
No	22	99-D114	Distinguishing TOC Sources in the Delta Using Complex Chemical Fingerprinting of Organic Matter	UC Davis, Agronomy & Range Science	Solano, Yolo	\$860,865		General comment - group suggests all proposals of this nature be coordinated to go forward together. (Similar to previous efforts regarding mercury).
No	22	99-B118	Feasibility Study for a Plant Materials & Research Ctr for CALFED Proj. in the No. S.F. Bay Eco zone	Denise Kelly	Napa, Sonoma	\$17,470		Inexpensive project, but not tied in with ongoing work. Benefits to species questionable.
No	22	99-D110	Sacramento County Urban Runoff OP Pesticide Toxicity Control Program	City of Sacramento, Dept of Utilities, Eng Services Division	Sacramento	\$756,631		
No	22	99-E109	Treating Ballast Water Discharges at Existing Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants	San Francisco Estuary Institute	San Francisco, Contra Costa	\$118,460		Research project hurt by scoring criteria For ballast water research is especially important. This is an important question to answer. Design and integration lacking.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	21	99-E106	Treatment of Ballast Water: Towards the Elim. of Alien Aquatic Intro Into the SF Bay	California State University, Hayward, Dept of Biological Sciences	Alameda	\$596,783		Need this type of information
No	21	99-D126	Adaptive Development of a Watershed Specific Pesticide Use Monitoring Strategy	CA Dept of Pesticide Regulation	Sacramento	\$729,726		Concerned that there is an inherent conflict of interest as DPR is paid by the mill tax.
No	21	99-D105	Merced River Water Temperature Feasibility Study	Merced Irrigation District	Merced	\$460,000		
No	21	99-C125	South Sacramento County Habitat Conservation Plan	Sacramento County Planning and Community Development	Sacramento	\$125,000		
No	21	99-D106	Real-Time Sensors for Mercuric & Selenate Ions Utilizing Templated	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, MS 936B	Merced	\$793,871		Panel suggests that all closely related proposals regarding selenium be coordinate and go forward together. (similar to previous efforts regarding mercury).
No	20.8	99-B129	Butte Creek Acquisition, Easement and Restoration Program	Center for Natural Lands Management	Butte	\$575,794		
No	20	99-B140	Sacramento River Bypass Floodplain Habitat Restoration Program	National Audubon Society - California	Colusa, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, Yuba	\$422,496		Reclamation Board is not a co-applicant. Wrote a letter stating that they are not.
No	20	99-C117	San Pablo Bay Watershed Capacity Development	North Bay Watershed Association (NBWA) c/o LGVSD	Marin, Sonoma, Napa	\$175,000		
No	20	99-B162	Sacramento River Bank & Habitat Restoration Project	Maxwell Irrigation District	Colusa	\$645,000		
No	20	99-C137	Promoting Stewardship Practices to Reduce Non Point Source Pollution From Prod. Ag in Sac/SJ Wtrshed	Coalition for Urban/Rural Environmental Stewardship (CURES)	Yuba, Sutter, Butte, Col and others	\$3,333,500		Not a watershed project. Several unresolved issues.
No.	19	99-G114	Bay Delta Explorer 2000	ABAG/San Francisco Estuary Project	Bay Delta Estuary & Watershed	\$312,058		Good potential for bio/eco benefits but unclear who the audience would be. Expensive for benefit derived.
No	19	99-B186	Butte Creek Acquisition, Revegetation and Restoration Assessment Project	The CSU, Chico Research Foundation on behalf of Butte Creek Watershed Conservancy	Butte	\$446,543		
No	19	99-G111	Return to the Source: The Upper Watersheds of the Bay-Delta	Rural California Alliance	several	\$132,230		Link to CALFED objectives not clear. The goals listed are great but how they enhance the CALFED Program is not clear.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	ProjectTitle	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	19	99-C110	Tuolumne River Regional Park Land Use Plan Update/Environmental	City of Modesto, on behalf of the Tuolumne River Regional Park Joint Powers Agency	Stanislaus	\$70,766		Proposal to develop an EIR, not integrated with any watershed planning.
No	18.8	99-B107	Rock Creek - Keefer Slough Environmental Restoration	Butte County	Butte	\$650,000		
No	18	99-D121	Auburn Ravine CRMP Water Quality Monitoring Project	Placer County Resource Conservation District	Placer, Sutter	\$532,287		Project chemistry is not well-defined.
No	18	99-G105	The Salmon Run: Eco Res Trails for the Sac/San Joaquin Delta	US Army Corps of Engineers	Yolo, Solano	\$550,135		Ed project with no direct benefit to priority species.
No	18	99-B100	Tuolumne River Sediment Management Plan	Sedimentation and River Hydraulics Group, TSC, USBR	Stanislaus, Tuolumne	\$279,000		Benefits were not described or quantified. Not well written or well coordinated.
No	17	99-G118	The Delta Primer	Jane Wolff	All Delta counties	\$188,500		Unique idea, but not a clear link to CALFED objectives. Not sure of the 'staying power' for this type of product. Seemed expensive for benefit derived.
No	17	99-C119	American Basin Watershed Station	Dry Creek Conservancy	Placer, Sacramento, Sutter	\$402,600		
No	17	99-B147	Clover Creek Flood Protection and Environmental Project	City of Redding, Dept of Public Works	Shasta	\$3,842,090		
No	17	99-E105	Bay Delta Crab Control	Aquallife Electrical Barriers, Inc	N/A	\$154,489		Proposal, as written, does not warrant funding.
No	16	99-C111	Granite Watershed Restoration Pilot Project	USDA Forest Service, Stanislaus National Forest	Tuolumne	\$4,555,000		This should be funded by the Forest Service. It is disconnected and not community based. No clear benefits to CALFED.
No	16	99-B187	Howard Slough Riparian Restoration Project	The CSU, Chico Research Foundation-Office of Sponsored Programs	Butte	\$265,288		
No	15	99-C102	Wildcat Canyon Western Slope Restoration Project	City of El Cerrito	Contra Costa	\$1,046,000		No connection to CALFED goals. Mainly exotic species control. Not community based. Received letters of opposition.
No	14	99-A107	Battle Creek Salmon & Steelhead Restoration Program	Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc	Tehama, Shasta	\$4,136,297		No legal interpretation of justification for this work. Policy issue to fund protector of private trout farm. Costly.

Recommended	TRP Score	Proposal No	Project Title	Applicant/Organization	County	Requested Amt	Recommended Amt	TRP Comments
No	13	99-G113	Napa Living Rivers Conference and Field Tours	Matterhorn California, Inc.	Napa	\$45,000		Unclear whether the stakeholders who are targeted were involved in the planning. Good idea, but needs to come from stakeholder community as something the county/city wants.
No	13	99-C141	Integrating Ecosystem Restoration program Objectives with Instream Gravel Mining	Resource Design Technology, Inc.	Sol, Tehama, Sac, Shasta, Yolo	\$388,950		No direct benefit to CALFED goals. No connection w/locals or ongoing activities
No	13	99-B164	Sacramento River Public Information Internet Server - Phase I	CA Dept of Water Resources, Northern District	All along Sac River from Collinsville to Keswick	\$400,000		Project seems very costly for the benefit derived; good idea, but doesn't seem to use lots of existing info.
No	12	99-E117	Development of a Research Program for the Invasive Aquatic Plant, Egeria densa	CA Dept of Boating & Waterways	Sacramento, SJ, Contra Costa	\$4,000,000		CALFED should not fund this proposal. We recognize Egeria is a problem, but proposal didn't meet CALFED standards
No	12	99-G101	Delta Information Center	CA Dept of Parks & Recreation, Brannan Island State Recreation Area	Sacramento	\$2,500,000		Largely benefits recreation rather than environmental ed in this phase of the proposal, future benefits for Env. Ed are possible once project is built.
No	11	99-G120	CALFED Bay-Delta Program Activities	Eco Action	San Joaquin, B-D Watershed	\$480,000		Not a clear connection to env ed benefits. No local involvement.
No		99-C103	Duplicate Proposal 99-B102	Friends of the Tuolumne, Inc.	Stanislaus			



E-006562

E - 0 0 6 5 6 2